

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,176	76 10/20/2003		Tze-Bin Chou	529872000112	8521
25225	7590	05/22/2006		EXAMINER	
		ERSTER LLP	JOIKE, MICHELE K		
12531 HIGH SUITE 100	BLUFF	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO), CA 9	2130-2040	1636		

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/690,176	CHOU, TZE-BIN			
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.	1636			
The MAILING D	PATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STA' WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the se	GER, FROM THE MAILING DA vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period vert or extended period for reply will, by statute ffice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(SATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEE and the description of the communication, even if timely filed,	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is Fl 3) ☐ Since this applie	cation is in condition for allowar	ctober 2003. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>31</u> is/a 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>32-34</u>	re rejected.	wn from consideration.				
·· _	n is objected to by the Examine	ır				
10) The drawing(s) f Applicant may no Replacement dra	iled on is/are: a) according and according according to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Education is required if the drawing(s) is objected in the Education is required if the drawing(s) is objected in the Education of the Education	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite		4) Interview Summary				
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) <u>/21/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

A substitute specification excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the specification is not in the proper English vernacular. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c).

Examples of how the instant specification is not in the proper English vernacular are set forth below. Applicant is reminded that these are only examples, and that the specification is replete with grammatical errors.

On page 1, paragraph [0002]:

"However, due to the systematic limitation, the possible living mechanisms are understood mostly through animal models which are composed by manipulated genes."

"The biological mechanism of Drosophila *Melanogaster* has the feature of evolutional conservation."

On page 2, paragraph [0003]:

Art Unit: 1636

"In the present time, as the structure genomic projects in model organisms are completed, how to decipher the flood of raw DNA sequences data in understanding gene function in vivo will be one of the major tasks for biology-related researchers."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31 is indefinite because it is unclear if the term "remains functional to a yeast site-specific flippase recombinase" means that the chromosome encodes a function recombinase, or if it is "sensitive" to enzymatic action by the recombinase (in the same sense that it is insensitive to the *P* transposase). It would be remedial to exchange the term "functional" with "sensitive."

Claim 32 recites the language "insertion a right arm", and claim 33 recites "and most part". These are unclear passages.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,176 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 34 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele K. Joike, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-5915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michele K Joike, Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1636

PRIMARY EXAMINER